

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

2018 FEB 28 AH 10: 47

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Crim. No. 17-628 (ADC)

JOSE ALBERTO BERROA-TORRES,

a/k/a Juan Mercedes-Torres, Defendant.

PLEA AGREEMENT (Pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 11(c)(1)(B))

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

The United States of America, by and through the undersigned attorneys, the Defendant, **JOSE ALBERTO BERROA-TORRES** a/k/a Juan Mercedes-Torres, and the Defendant's attorney, Marie L. Cortés-Cortés, very respectfully state to this Honorable Court that they have reached an agreement (the "Plea Agreement"), the terms and conditions of which are as follows:

JAH

1. DEFENDANT PLEADS GUILTY TO COUNT ONE

me

Defendant agrees to plead guilty to COUNT ONE of the Indictment, which charges that Defendant, being an alien previously removed from the United States subsequent to a conviction for an aggravated felony, did knowingly and intentionally attempt to re-enter the United States, without obtaining, prior to his re-embarkation at a place outside the United States, the express consent from the Attorney General of the United States, or his successor, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security, to reapply for admission into the United States; all in violation of Title 8, *United States Code*, Section 1326(b)(2).

2. MAXIMUM PENALTIES

The maximum penalties for COUNT ONE include a term of imprisonment of not more than twenty years (20) years pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2); a term of supervised release of no more than three (3) years pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(b)(2); and a fine not to exceed \$250,000.00 pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3571(b)(3).

3. APPLICABILITY OF SENTENCING GUIDELINES

Pursuant to the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States in the case of *United States v. Booker* and *United States v. Fanfan*, the Sentencing Guidelines are no longer mandatory and must be considered effectively advisory.

4. SPECIAL MONETARY ASSESSMENT

Defendant shall pay a special monetary assessment of one-hundred dollars (\$100.00), as required by Title 18, *United States Code*, Section 3013(a).

5. FINES

Defendant is aware that the Court may order Defendant to pay a fine sufficient to reimburse the government for the costs of any imprisonment, probation or supervised release.

6. **RULE 11(c)(1)(B) WARNINGS**

Defendant's sentence is within the sound discretion of the sentencing judge and will be imposed in accordance with the Guidelines (including the <u>Guidelines Policy Statements</u>, <u>Application</u>, and <u>Background Notes</u>). The Court has jurisdiction and authority to impose any sentence within the statutory maximum set for the offense to which he pleads guilty. If the Court should impose a sentence up to the maximum established by statute, Defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw the guilty plea, and will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this Plea Agreement.



7. SENTENCING GUIDELINE CALCULATIONS

Although the Guidelines are advisory, the sentencing court is required to consider the Guideline "sentencing range established for...the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of defendant" in imposing sentence. United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220, 224 (2005). Therefore, after due consideration of the relevant factors enumerated in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), the United States and Defendant submit the below advisory Sentencing Guideline calculations.

	2016 UNITED	STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES CALCULATION TAE < COUNT ONE> 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a) & (b)(2)	LE
В	ase Offense Level [§ 2L1,2(a)]	Defendant unlawfully entered the U.S.	
[§2L1.2.(b)(2)(B)]	(B) a conviction for a felony offense (other than an illegal reentry offense) for which the sentence imposed was two years or more, increase by 8 levels.	
[Adjustment §3E1.1(a) & (b)]	Defendant clearly demonstrated acceptance of responsibility for his offense in a timely manner.	
TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL			
Se	Sentencing Ranges	TOL CHC I CHC II CHC III CHC IV CHC V CHC VI	

8. SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION

The United States and Defendant, after consideration of the advisory Sentencing Guidelines and all applicable sentencing factors in Title 18, *United States Code*, Section 3553, reserve the right to recommend a sentence at the lower end of the applicable Guideline Sentencing Range for a total offense level of 13 when combined with Defendant's criminal history category as determined by the Court.

9. UNITED STATES RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The United States reserves the right to carry out its responsibilities under guidelines sentencing. Specifically, the United States reserves the right: (a) to bring its version of the facts of this case including its file and any investigative files to the attention of the probation office in connection with that office's preparation of a pre-sentence report; (b) to dispute sentencing factors or facts material to sentencing; (c) to seek resolution of such factors or facts in conference with opposing counsel and the probation office.

10. WAIVER OF APPEAL

Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees that, if the sentence imposed by the Court is within or below the guideline range for the total offense level calculated in this plea agreement when combined with the defendant's criminal history category as determined by the Court, the defendant waives the right to appeal any aspect of this case's judgment and sentence, including but not limited to the term of imprisonment or probation, restitution, fines, forfeiture, and the term and conditions of supervised release.

11. OBJECTIONS TO THE SENTENCING REPORT

Pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. 32(f)(1)&(2), the parties agree to state in writing any objections to the sentencing report within 14 days after its receipt.

12. NO FURTHER ADJUSTMENTS OR DEPARTURES

The United States and Defendant agree that no further adjustments or departures to Defendant's adjusted offense level shall be sought by the parties.

13. NO STIPULATION AS TO CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY

The parties make no stipulation as to Defendant's Criminal History Category.

14. IMPACT UPON IMMIGRATION STATUS

Defendant is an alien. Defendant acknowledges that pleading guilty and entering into this plea may have negative effects upon Defendant's immigration status with the United States.

15. SATISFACTION WITH ATTORNEY

Defendant is satisfied with his counsel, Marie L. Cortés-Cortés, and the Defendant hereby indicates that that counsel has rendered effective legal assistance.

16. RIGHTS SURRENDERED BY DEFENDANT THROUGH GUILTY PLEA

Defendant understands that by entering into this agreement, Defendant surrenders certain rights as provided in this agreement, which include the following:

- a) If Defendant had persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges, Defendant would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the assistance of counsel. The trial may be conducted by a judge sitting without a jury if Defendant, the United States and the judge agree;
- b) If a jury trial is conducted, the jury would be composed of twelve (12) lay persons selected at random. Defendant and Defendant's attorney would assist in selecting the jurors by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The jury would have to agree, unanimously, before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty. The jury would be instructed that Defendant is presumed innocent, that it could not convict Defendant unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, and that it was to consider each charge separately;

MIC

- c) If a trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and, after hearing all the evidence and considering each count separately, determine whether or not the evidence established Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt;
- d) At a trial, the United States would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against Defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those witnesses and Defendant's attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, Defendant could present witnesses and other evidence on Defendant's own behalf. If the witnesses for Defendant would not appear voluntarily, Defendant could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court;
- At trial, Defendant could rely on the privilege against self-incrimination to decline e) to testify, and no inference of guilty could be drawn from Defendant's refusal to testify. If Defendant desired to do so, Defendant could testify on Defendant's own behalf.

17. STIPULATION OF FACTS

The parties agree that there is a sufficient basis in fact to support Defendant's guilty plea in this case. The parties further agree that the facts contained in the attached government's version of facts are true and correct, and that had the matter proceeded to trial, the United States would have proven those facts beyond a reasonable doubt.

18. JURISDICTIONAL LIMITS OF PLEA AGREEMENT

This plea agreement does not extend to or bind other federal districts, federal civil and/or tax authorities, and/or State or Commonwealth of Puerto Rico tax authorities, civil and/or State or Commonwealth of Puerto Rico law enforcement authorities.

MI

19. ENTIRETY OF PLEA AGREEMENTS AND AMENDMENTS

This document constitutes the entire plea agreement between the parties. The parties deny the existence of any other terms and conditions not stated herein. No additional promises, terms or conditions will be entered unless in writing and signed by all parties.

20. VOLUNTARINESS OF PLEA

Defendant is entering into this plea agreement without compulsion, threats, or any other promises from the United States Attorney or any of his agents. No threats have been made against Defendant. Defendant is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because Defendant is, in fact, guilty.

21. BREACH AND WAIVER

Defendant understands and agrees that if Defendant breaches the Plea Agreement, Defendant may be prosecuted and sentenced for all of the offenses that Defendant may have committed. Defendant agrees that if Defendant breaches this Plea Agreement, the government reserves the right to take whatever steps are necessary to nullify the Plea Agreement, including the filing of a motion to withdraw the Plea Agreement and/or set aside the conviction and sentence. Defendant also agrees that if he is in breach of this Plea Agreement, Defendant is deemed to have waived any objection to the reinstatement of any charges under the indictment, information, or complaint which may have previously been dismissed or which may have not been previously prosecuted.

[intentionally left blank]

Defendant further agrees that if he is in breach of this Plea Agreement, Defendant is deemed to have also waived any objection to the filing of any additional charges against him.

ROSA	EMILIA	RODRIGUEZ-	VÉLEZ.
TODAY		NODINGULL	- •

United States Attorney

José Capa-Iriarte,

Assistant V.S. Attorney

Chief Cruninal Division

Date:

Marshal D. Morgan

Assistant U.S. Attorney

Deputy Chief, Crimes Against Children

And Human/Trafficking Unit

Date:

2/7/18

Sean R. Gajewski

Special Assistant U.S. Attorney

Date:

2/7/2018

Jøse Alberto Berroa-Torres

Defendant

Date: 28-2-2018

Marie L. Cortes-Cortés Counsel for Defendant

Date: 2/28/2018

U.S. v. Berroa-Torres Plea Agreement

I have consulted with my counsel and fully understand all my rights with respect to the indictment, information, or complaint pending against me. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand my decision to enter a guilty plea in this instant case. In addition, I understand my rights with respect to the provision of the <u>Sentencing Guidelines</u>, <u>Policy Statements</u>, <u>Application</u>, and <u>Background Notes</u> which may apply in my case. I have read this Plea Agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. My counsel has translated the Plea Agreement to me in the Spanish language and I have no doubts as to the contents of the agreement. I fully understand this agreement and I voluntarily agree to it.

Date: <u>28-2-2018</u>	Jose A Berroe
•	Jose Alberto Berroa-Torres
	Defendant
	Date:

I am the attorney for Defendant. I have fully explained Defendant's rights with respect to the pending indictment, information, or complaint against Defendant. Further, I have reviewed the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes, and I have fully explained to Defendant the provisions of those guidelines which may apply in this case. I have carefully reviewed every part this Plea Agreement with Defendant. I have translated the Plea Agreement and explained it in the Spanish language to the defendant who has expressed having no doubts as to the contents of the agreement. To my knowledge, Defendant is entering into this agreement voluntarily, intelligently and with full knowledge of all the consequences of Defendant's plea of guilty.

Date: 2/28/20/8

Marie L. Cortés-Cortés Counsel for Defendant

Date:

GOVERNMENT'S VERSION OF THE FACTS

In conjunction with the submission of the accompanying Plea Agreement in this case, the United States of America submits the following summary of facts which would have proven beyond a reasonable doubt Defendant's criminal responsibility for Defendant's violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(b)(2):

On December 3, 2017, Ramey Station Border Patrol agents detected a suspicious vessel traveling without navigational lights about eight (08) nautical miles away from Rincon, Puerto Rico. The Border Patrol agents requested assistance from the United States Coast Guard, and the Coast Guard thereafter intercepted the vessel. A total of nine (09) adult subjects were on board the intercepted vessel, including the Defendant, Jose Alberto Berroa-Torres.

All persons on board the vessel claimed to be undocumented aliens from the Dominican Republic who were knowingly and intentionally heading towards Puerto Rico. For safety reasons, they were transferred to the Coast Guard Cutter on-scene. At the Cutter, their fingerprints were entered into a biometrics system, which revealed that Defendant had previous immigration and criminal history. As a result, Border Patrol agents were informed and arrangements were made to coordinate investigation and custody transfer of the detained illegal migrants.

Four illegal migrants, including Defendant, were transported to the Mayaguez Port of Entry to be turned over to Border Patrol custody. At the Ramey Station, record checks confirmed that Defendant has prior immigration and criminal history and did not have permission to legally enter or remain in the United States. More specifically, on June 21, 2000, Defendant was encountered by U.S. Immigration Officers in San Juan, PR, and issued a Notice to Appear before an Immigration Judge. He was thereafter granted a voluntary departure on July 6, 2000, and physically left the United States on July 12, 2000. On March 9, 2009, Defendant was arrested by

MIST

the U.S. Drug Enforcement Agency in San Juan, Puerto Rico, and charged with violations of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 and 846. On June 23, 2010, Defendant plead guilty and was sentenced to forty-six (46) months in prison, four (04) years of supervised release, and a \$100 special assessment fee. While serving his sentence, on July 20, 2010, Defendant was served with a Notice to Appear before an Immigration Judge. Thereafter, on August 15, 2012, an Immigration Judge ordered Defendant removed, and he was physically removed from the United States on October 18, 2012.

Had the United States proceeded to trial, the Government would have presented testimony from law enforcement agents, testimony from an expert fingerprint analyst, the Defendant's removal documents, and other documentary evidence. Discovery was provided to the defense in a timely manner.

Sean R. Gajewski

Special Assistant U.S. Attorney

Date: 2/1/20

Jose Alberto Berroa-Torres

Defendant

Date: 28-2-2018

Marie L. Cortés-Cortés

Counsel for Defendant

)ate: 2/2